

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/637,766	(08/11/2000	Sergey Lamansky	10020/20701 7827			
26646	7590	11/07/2002					
KENYON		ON	EXAMINER				
ONE BROA		004		YAMNITZKY, MARIE ROSE			
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				1774	1		
				DATE MAILED: 11/07/2002	1 -		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		,							
•		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
055		09/637,766		LAMANSKY ET AL.					
, Office A	Examiner		Art Unit						
<i>-</i>		Marie R. Yamnita		1774					
The MAILING Period for Reply	B DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	' 0 SS				
THE MAILING DAT - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS free - If the period for reply spe - If NO period for reply is separate to reply within the Any reply received by the	EATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY E OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 om the mailing date of this communication. Cified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply pecified above, the maximum statutory period we set or extended period for reply will, by statute, office later than three months after the mailing truent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howe within the statutory min vill apply and will expire s cause the application to	ver, may a reply be tim imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONEI	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.				
1) Responsive	to communication(s) filed on 07/2	<u> 22/02 & suppleme</u>	ntal to Paper No	<u>). 11</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is	s FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	is action is non-fi	nal.						
closed in acc	oplication is in condition for allowa cordance with the practice under <i>l</i>				merits is				
Disposition of Claims	Oio/ara nandina in the application								
	2 is/are pending in the application		otion						
<u> </u>	ove claim(s) is/are withdrav	vii irom considera	auon.						
5) ☐ Claim(s)	and 10-12 is/are rejected.								
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9</u> is/				•					
	are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirer	ment						
Application Papers	0.0 000,000 10 1000.100.1001 0.11070.	o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o							
9)☐ The specificati	on is objected to by the Examiner	r. '							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b) objecte	ed to by the Exar	niner.					
	y not request that any objection to the								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
	claration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.							
Priority under 35 U.S.									
	nent is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
· ·	ome * c) None of:								
	d copies of the priority documents								
	d copies of the priority documents								
арр	of the certified copies of the prior dication from the International Bured detailed Office action for a list of	reau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		age				
14) Acknowledgme	nt is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional a	pplication).				
	lation of the foreign language proent is made of a claim for domestic								
Attachment(s)		•		· e-					
	ited (PTO-892) s Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🗌	Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s). atent Application (PTO-					

Application/Control Number: 09/637,766 Page 2

'Art Unit: 1774

1. This Office action supplements/modifies the final rejection mailed 10/23/02 (Paper No.

11). The rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, 35 U.S.C. 102(b) and 35 U.S.C.

103(a) as set forth in Paper No. 11 stand and the action stands as a final action, but the time for

response to Paper No. 11 is restarted as of the mailing date of this Office action.

2. In Paper No. 11, the examiner stated that claim 12 would be allowable if clearly limited

only to an organometallic compound represented by a formula selected from one of the seven

formulae shown in the claim. Based on the newly discovered reference to Cockburn et al., the

examiner's previous statement of allowable subject matter is incorrect. A rejection based on the

Cockburn reference is set forth later in this action.

3. A correct statement regarding the allowability of claim 12 is as follows: Claim 12 would

be allowable if clearly limited only to an organometallic compound represented by a formula

selected from the group consisting of the first, second, fourth, fifth, sixth and seventh formulae

shown in present claim 12.

4. The newly discovered reference to Cockburn et al. does not alter the examiner's

statement set forth in Paper No. 11 regarding the patentability of the subject matter of claim 9.

Claim 9 stands objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim. While Cockburn et al.

disclose an organometallic complex within the scope of the Markush group set forth in claim 9.

Art Unit: 1774

Cockburn et al. do not suggest using the organometallic complex in an emissive layer of an organic light emitting device.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cockburn et al. in *Journal of the Chemical Society. Dalton Transactions*, Vol. 4, pp. 404-410 (1973).

Cockburn et al. disclose an organometallic compound having a chemical structure represented by the third formula shown in claim 12 (see Table 5 on page 409: "(bq)Pt(acac)").

7. The action mailed 10/23/02 remains FINAL and this action supplements/modifies that FINAL action. The rejection presented in this Office action was necessitated by applicants' amendment received 07/22/02. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to the final action mailed 10/23/02 and to this supplemental action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this supplemental action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this supplemental action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the

Application/Control Number: 09/637,766

⁴ Art Unit: 1774

THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory

period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Marie R. Yamnitzky at telephone number (703) 308-4413. The examiner works a flexible schedule but can generally be reached at this number from 6:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday, and every other Wednesday from 6:30 a.m. to 3:00 p.m.

The current fax numbers for Art Unit 1774 are (703) 872-9311 for official after final faxes and (703) 872-9310 or (703) 305-5408 for all other official faxes. (Unofficial faxes to be sent directly to examiner Yamnitzky can be sent to (703) 872-9041.)

MRY 10/29/02

MARIE YAMNITZKY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Marie R. Januitely

Page 4

1974